# WASHINGTON METROPOLITAN AREA TRANSIT COMMISSION

### WASHINGTON, DC

ORDER NO. 4380

IN THE MATTER OF:		Served September 2, 1994		
Application of ROYAL AIRPORT	)	Case No. AP-94-33		
SHUTTLE for a Certificate of	)			
Authority Irregular Route	)			
Operations	)			

Operations

By application filed July 21, 1994, Royal Airport Shuttle (RAS or applicant), a Maryland partnership, seeks a certificate of authority to transport passengers, together with baggage in the same vehicles as passengers, in irregular route operations between points in the Metropolitan District, restricted to transportation in vehicles with a manufacturer's designed seating capacity of 15 or fewer persons, including the driver.

Notice of this application was served on July 25, 1994, in Order No. 4352, and applicant was directed to publish further notice in a newspaper and file an affidavit of publication and amended page 7 of the application form. Applicant complied. The application is unopposed.

### SUMMARY OF EVIDENCE

The application includes information regarding, among other things, applicant's facilities, proposed tariff, finances, and regulatory compliance record.

Applicant proposes commencing operations with several 7-passenger vans and one 10-passenger van. Applicant's proposed tariff contains per capita rates for service between points in Montgomery County, MD, and the District of Columbia, on the one hand, and Washington National Airport and Washington-Dulles International Airport, on the other.

Applicant filed a balance sheet showing assets of \$40,000 and equity of \$40,000. Applicant's projected operating statement for the first twelve months of WMATC operations shows WMATC operating income of \$248,200; operating expenses of \$246,520; and net income of \$1,680.

Applicant certifies it has access to, is familiar with, and will comply with the Compact, the Commission's rules and regulations, and United States Department of Transportation regulations relating to transportation of passengers for hire. Applicant further certifies that neither applicant nor any person controlling, controlled by, or under common control with applicant has any control relationship with a carrier other than applicant.

### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

This case is governed by the Compact, Title II, Article XI, Section 7(a), which provides in relevant part that:

. . . the Commission shall issue a certificate to any qualified applicant . . . if it finds that --

(i) the applicant is fit, willing, and able to perform [the] transportation properly, conform to the provisions of this Act, and conform to the rules, regulations, and requirements of the Commission; and

(ii) that the transportation is consistent with the

public interest.

Based on the evidence in this record, the Commission finds applicant to be fit, willing, and able to perform the proposed transportation properly and to conform with applicable regulatory requirements. The Commission further finds that the proposed transportation is consistent with the public interest.

## THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED:

- 1. That Royal Airport Shuttle, 15829 Quince Orchard Road, Gaithersburg, MD 20878, is hereby conditionally granted, contingent upon timely compliance with the requirements of this order, authority to transport passengers, together with baggage in the same vehicles as passengers, in irregular route operations between points in the Metropolitan District, restricted to transportation in vehicles with a manufacturer's designed seating capacity of 15 or fewer persons, including the driver.
- 2. That applicant is hereby directed to file the following documents with the Commission: (a) evidence of insurance pursuant to Commission Regulation No. 58 and Order No. 4203; (b) four copies of a tariff or tariffs in accordance with Commission Regulation No. 55; (c) an equipment list stating the year, make, model, serial number, vehicle number, license plate number (with jurisdiction) and seating capacity of each vehicle to be used in revenue operations; (d) evidence of ownership or a lease as required by Commission Regulation No. 62 for each vehicle to be used in revenue operations; (e) proof of current safety inspection of said vehicle(s) by or on behalf of the United States Department of Transportation, the State of Maryland, the District of Columbia, or the Commonwealth of Virginia; and (f) a notarized affidavit of identification of vehicles pursuant to Commission Regulation No. 61, for which purpose WMATC No. 270 is hereby assigned.
- 3. That upon timely compliance with the requirements of the preceding paragraph and acceptance of the documents required by the Commission, Certificate of Authority No. 270 shall be issued to applicant.
- 4. That applicant may not transport passengers for hire between points in the Metropolitan District pursuant to this order unless and until a certificate of authority has been issued in accordance with the preceding paragraph.

5. That unless applicant complies with the requirements of this order within 30 days from the date of its issuance, or such additional time as the Commission may direct or allow, the grant of authority herein shall be void and the application shall stand denied in its entirety effective upon the expiration of said compliance time.

BY DIRECTION OF THE COMMISSION; COMMISSIONERS DAVENPORT, SCHIFTER, AND SHANNON:

William S. Morrow, Jr. Acting Executive Director

			4
	÷		
•			
			•